

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,887	12/20/2001	James J. Nicholas III	6031	
23910	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			VU, VIET DUY	
SUITE 400	ARCADERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111		2154	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/029,887 NICHOLAS, JAMES J. Examiner Art Unit					
Office Action Summany					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
·					
Viet Vu 2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2003 (Prel. Amdt).					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
ess the diagnost estailed emos action for a list of the certailed copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03; 3/02. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cheer:					

Application/Control Number: 10/029,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-10, 15-27, 32-41 and 46-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Aktas, U.S. pat. No. 6,438,216.

Per claims 1-8, Aktas discloses a system and method for providing non-intrusive notifications to users comprising:

a) a first program at a controller (40, fig.1) for receiving notification alerts from the plurality of sources, generating

Application/Control Number: 10/029,887 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

notifications and delivering notifications (see col 4, lines 49-61),

b) a second program at client (18, 20, fig. 1) for receiving and presenting the notifications without interrupting client process and providing access to designated sources (see col 4, lines 12-48).

Per claim 9, Aktas teaches provides a receipt confirmation for confirming the delivery and correctness of the message (see col 5, lines 12-16).

Per claims 10 and 15, Aktas teaches identifying recipient for the notification and logging system activities (see col 5, lines 39-53).

Claims 16-27, 32-41 and 46-52 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-10 and 15.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a),

Application/Control Number: 10/029,887

Art Unit: 2154

the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 11-14, 28-31 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aktas and further in view of Kibre et al, U.S. pat. No. 5,966,691.

Per claims 11-13, Aktas does not teach different providing notification alerts in different formats. The use of various notification formats to inform user of detected events is well known in the art as disclosed by Kibre (see Kibre in col 2, line 34 - col 3, line 47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify <u>Aktas</u> with <u>Kibre</u>'s teachings because it would have enabled notifying users different notification alerts with different presentations.

Per claim 14, <u>Kibre</u> also teaches allowing users to configure and customize the system (<u>see Kibre in col 2, lines</u> 58-67)

Claims 28-31 and 42-45 are similar in scope as that of claims 11-14.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

las)~

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 8/4/05